

REMARKS

Claims 1-3 are pending in this application. All of the claims were rejected. Claims 1 and 2 are currently amended. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

Claims 1 and 2 were subject to objection for use of the term “logic” in an apparatus claim. Claims 1 and 2 have been amended to recite “data processing apparatus” rather than logic, thereby making it clear that the claimed apparatus is tangible. Support for this language is in the Specification at pages 95-96. Withdrawal of the objection is therefore requested.

Claims 1-3 were provisionally rejected based on obviousness-type double patenting in view of copending application 10/781,520. A terminal disclaimer is submitted with this Response in order to overcome the rejection.

The Specification was subject to objection based on informalities in the description of the drawing. Those and other informalities are corrected by the amendments to the Specification listed above.

Applicants have made a diligent effort to place the claims in condition for allowance. However, should there remain unresolved issues that require adverse action, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner telephone Applicants' Attorney at the number listed below so that such issues may be resolved as expeditiously as possible.

For these reasons, and in view of the above amendments, this application is now considered to be in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,

March 20, 2007
Date

/Holmes W. Anderson/
Holmes Anderson, Reg. No. 37,272
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)
McGuinness & Manaras LLP
125 Nagog Park
Acton, MA 01720
(978) 264-6664

Docket No. 160-035
Dd: